

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 073458

53

ORIGIN SS-14

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 CCO-00 SSO-00 FILE-01 /016 R

66660

DRAFTED BY: S/ S- O: JDLEE

APPROVED BY: S/ S- O: RWRIGHT

----- 112242

R 191112 Z APR 73 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY KATHMANDU

S E C R E T STATE 073458

EXDIS TODEP 100

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENGR, IR

SUBJECT: PETROLEUM: GOI CONSORTIUM NEGOTIATIONS

FOLLOWING SENT ACTION SECSTATE APRIL 18, FROM TEHRAN
REPEATED TO YOU QUOTE:

S E C R E T TEHRAN 2585

EXDIS

FOR UNDER SECRETARY CASEY FROM AMBASSADOR

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG, IR

SUBJ: IETROLEUM: GOI CONS

RIUM NEGOTIATIONS

1. AS I GET FURTHER INTO THE CONSORTIUM'S NEGOTIATIONS
WITH THE

GOI WHICH ARE ABOUT TO BEGIN THEIR NEXT ROUND HERE (KEN
JAMIESON CAME IN TO SEE ME ON APRIL 18 BEFORE

HE CALLED ON SHAH

AND I GAVE HIM FLAVOR OF MATERIAL SET FORTH BELOW), I HAVE BEEN

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 073458

PARTICULARLY STRUCK BY THE DIFFERENCES IN ATTITUDE AND APPROACH BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES. SINCE YOU ARE FOLLOWING THESE NEGOTIATIONS I WANT TO SHARE WITH YOU SEVERAL INTERESTING INSIGHTS INTO HOW IRANIAN SIDE WORKS WHICH EMERGED FROM LONG CONVERSATION OUR PETROLEUM OFFICER HAD LAST D"1# WITH IRANIAN OBSERVER IN RECENT TALKS WITH CONSORTIUM.

2. ACCORDING TO THIS SOURCE DR. REZA FALLAH CONVENED IRANIAN TEAM IN LONDON ALMOST IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING SIGNATURE OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING IN ST. MORITZ. BY WAY OF BRIEFING, HE SIMPLY GAVE THEM MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AND SAID THAT MEMORANDUM MEANT THAT IRAN HAD A SALES PURCHASE CONTRACT ARRANGEMENT WITH CONSORTIUM AND THAT TWO SIDES WERE NOW TO WORK OUT DETAILS OF THIS ARRANGEMENT. IRANIAN TEAM WAS NOT GIVEN ANY INFORMATION ABOUT MEETING IN ST. MORITZ, NOR WAS IT INFORMED THAT MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WAS ANYTHING OTHER THAN CONFIRMATION BY CONSORTIUM THAT IT WAS PREPARED TO ACCEPT SALES PURCHASE ARRANGEMENT. THUS, WHEN CONSORTIUM TEAM MADE IT CLEAR THAT IT EXPECTED THAT MUCH OF 1954 AGREEMENT WOULD BE CARRIED FORWARD INTO NEW ARRANGEMENT, IRANIAN SIDE CONSIDERED THAT CONSORTIUM WAS ACTING IN BAD FAITH AND ATTEMPTING TO REVERSE DECISIONS AT ST. MORITZ.

3. THERE HAVE BEEN SHARP DIVISIONS AMONG MEMBERS OF IRANIAN TEAM, AND BETWEEN ALL OF MEMBERS OF TEAM AND DR. FALLAH. MEMBERS OF TEAM THOUGHT THAT FALLAH WAS KEEPING BACK INFORMATION ABOUT EVENTS AT ST. MORITZ FOR HIS OWN PURPOSES, AND AS A WAY OF MANIPULATING THEM AND PREVENTING THEM FROM GETTING CREDIT FOR REACHING AGREEMENT ON IMPORTANT POINTS IN NEGOTIATIONS. MANY OF MEMBERS OF TEAM RESENTED WHAT THEY CONSIDERED TO BE FALLAH'S EXCESSIVE INTIMACY WITH CONSORTIUM, AND THEY SUSPECTED THAT FALLAH WAS IN FACT CONDUCTING ANOTHER SET OF NEGOTIATIONS ON THE SIDE FOR WHICH THEIR NEGOTIATIONS WERE SIMPLY A FACADE. STILL

OTHER MEMBERS OF IRANIAN TEAM EXPRESSED THEIR DISLIKE FOR DR. FALLAH THROUGH A FEELING THAT HE HAD PREVAILED UPON SHAH TO GIVE AWAY TOO MUCH AT ST. MORITZ, AND THEY DETERMINED TO PROLONG THE NEGOTIATIONS IN HOPES THAT THIS WOULD PUT PRESSURE ON THE GENERAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT AND THEREFORE IMPEL
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 073458

CONSORTIUM TO AGREE TO

A BETTER DEAL FOR IRAN. YET ANOTHER
GROUP OF TEAM MEMBERS WANTED TO FINISH NEGOTIATIONS PROMPTLY
WITH AN AGREEMENT, AND BELIEVED THAT FALLAH WAS DELIBERATELY
PROLONGING NEGOTIATIONS IN HOPES THAT THEY WOULD FAIL, THUS
PROVIDING HIM WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO MONOPOLIZE ALL THE
CREDIT FOR A LATER EVENTUAL AGREEMENT NEGOTIATED DIRECTLY BY
HIMSELF. DISPUTE WITH DR. FALLAH BECAME SO INTENSE THAT ON
SEVERAL OCCASIONS
ALL
OF OTHER MEMBERS OF TEAM SIGNED REPORTS
TO SHAH WHICH COMPLAINED SHARPLY ABOUT CONDUCT OF NEGOTIATIONS.

4. STEELE APPARENTLY ATTEMPTED AT ONE POINT TO BREAK IMPASSE
OVER APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT BY WRITING
LETTER TO FALLAH WHICH REMINDED HIM OF DISCUSSIONS AT ST. MORITZ
ON THIS POINT. AN INTERESTING EXAMPLE OF COMPARATIVE PSYCHOLOGY
EMERGED OVER THIS LETTER: PINNEL, HEAD OF CONSORTIUM DELEGATION,
PRESENTED IT TO DR. FALLAH IN FRONT OF ALL OF MEMBERS OF BOTH
TEAMS DURING COURSE OF A MEETING. IRANIAN MEMBERS TOOK THIS AS
FLAGRANT INDICATION THAT FALLAH WAS IN SECRET SIDE NEGOTIATIONS
WITH CONSORTIUM TEAM. IN FACT, PINNEL DID THIS SO AS TO DEMON-
STRATE, AT LEAST TO HIS OWN SIDE, THAT THERE WERE NO SECRET
COMMUNICATIONS WITH FALLAH.

5. COMMENT: SOME OF ABOVE IS USUAL INFIGHTING TO BE EXPECTED
IN HIGHLY PERSONALIZED AND FREQUENTLY INSECURE IRANIAN SOCIETY.
PROBLEM IS COMPLICATED BY SHAH'S TENDENCY TO KEEP MOST ELEMENTS
OF NEGOTIATIONS TIGHTLY IN HIS HANDS NOT EVEN SHARING EVERYTHING
WITH FALLAH. THIS HIGHLIGHTS NEED FOR DIRECT ACCESS AND PER-
IODIC RESORT TO SHAH TO SET MATTERS STRAIGHT. HAPPILY JAMIESON
AND STEELE
HAVE SUCH ACCESS AND HOPEFULLY WILL BE DOING JUST
THAT IN NEXT FEW DAYS. HELMS UNQUOTE ROGERS

SECRET

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** EXDIS
*** Current Classification *** SECRET

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts:
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 19 APR 1973
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE073458
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: O: JDLEE
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: RR
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: SECSTATE WASHDC
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730451/aaaaiwon.tel
Line Count: 152
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 04 JAN 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <04-Jan-2002 by willialc>; APPROVED <22 FEB 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> gwr 980202
Subject: PETROLEUM: GOI CONSORTIUM NEGOTIATIONS
TAGS: ENGR, IR
To: KATHMANDU
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005